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Abstract

This paper presents a novel approach to a familiar problem — that of helping users to choose better passwords, and to remember them. User identification and authentication is an essential aspect of our technologically advanced world, but the difficulty with remembering passwords is well known. This paper presents a mechanism for recording passwords for users by applying a very simple and well-known mechanism to conceal the passwords from casual intruders while facilitating retrieval of the passwords by the authorized user. A prototype implementation of the scheme, named Jiminy, has been developed. The prototype was evaluated with a small number of users. The results of the evaluation are presented here.
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1 Introduction

The successful identification and authentication of a person or an entity wishing to use a computer system, is the first step towards enforcing information security [1, 9, 16]. Each user has a unique user identification (user-id) that ensures that only authorized users gain access to a computer system. Authentication is the process of verifying that an offered user-id really belongs to the person offering that user-id and not to an unauthorized person trying to impersonate the owner of the id [9]. This authentication usually happens by the user having, apart from his/her user-id, some secret (unique) authentication data known or belonging only to the user and the system. This secret authentication data can be categorized as:

- something the user knows, such as, for example a password,
- something the user possesses, perhaps a physical token such as a smart card,
- something the user is, based on biometrics such as fingerprints, or
- a combination of the previous three [9, 20].

Some innovative schemes have been proposed, especially in the biometrics category, which utilise mouse usage patterns [11], fingerprints [13], voice identification [4], key stroke latencies [7, 8, 16], word association [23] and ear biometrics [5].

However, most of these schemes require the use of extra equipment which is not always available to the end-user. Passwords are, therefore, still the simplest and the most popular user authentication scheme, because they do not need exclusive devices and are an inexpensive, familiar paradigm that most operating systems support [1, 8, 11, 16, 20].

Confidence in passwords’ ability to provide adequate authentication is, however, waning. This is not because of passwords as such, but because of wrongful use of passwords by many users due to the limitations of human memory.

To overcome some of these problems one-time passwords have been proposed. A one-time password is one that changes every time it is used. Systems that use one-time passwords assign a static mathematical function to a user, as opposed to assigning a static phrase (as is the case with most conventional password applications). In other words, the system provides an argument to the mathematical function, and the user must then compute and return the function value.

For example, the function $f(x) = x + 1$ can be assigned to a specific user. With this function, the system prompts with a value for $x$, and the user enters the value $x + 1$. The kinds of mathematical functions used can be very complex, but such functions are limited by the ability of the user to compute the response quickly and easily.

One-time passwords are very secure for authentication, but their usefulness is limited by the complexity of algorithms people can be expected to remember: [20, 21].

In order to gauge the use or abuse of passwords in our organisation we undertook a survey of users’ password habits and found that out of 34 respondents 26 used predictable words and names — often the user’s own name followed by a number which is incremented each month. Seeley finds that this is not a particularly rare choice of password — it being the one most password-cracking programs search for before trying any others [1, 22]. Only 5 respondents had a special
system for generating passwords. Most people blamed the frequency of password changes for their simplistic password choices. It is interesting that 4 people questioned the need for passwords. Most people remembered their passwords by using familiar words or names, and 6 wrote their passwords down. Most respondents used passwords shorter than the minimum recommended length of 6 characters. The fact that 76% of the respondents had forgotten their passwords in the past was no surprise but what was surprising was that only 2 of the 'special system' password respondents had not ever forgotten their passwords. Other respondents who had never forgotten their passwords used very predictable passwords, such as the month and year, and it is thus not surprising that the passwords were easy to remember. It is obvious that system security is being compromised and we feel that this group is representative of any employee group in any organisation.

The current password situation is thus at somewhat of an impasse — with employers insisting on the use of non-predictable regularly changing passwords, and employees using easy-to-remember passwords and compromising security by writing them down [17]. This paper will propose a way of resolving this impasse. Section 2 will discuss problems with regard to passwords. Section 3 will propose a solution. Section 4 will give information about the prototype implementation of the proposed solution. Section 5 reports on the evaluation of the prototype and Section 7 concludes.

2 The Problem with Passwords

Many organizations require their employees to change passwords on a regular basis — often once a month. Employees are also often informed of the requirements of a 'strong' password such as the following incomplete list of important password criteria [9, 20]:

1. A password should comprise of at least one of each of the following:
   - a small letter,
   - a capital letter,
   - a non-alphanumeric character (such as @, $ or %), and
   - a digit.

2. A password should also satisfy the following criteria:
   - It should comprise at least 6 characters.
   - It should not be an actual name or word.
   - It should be changed frequently, for example, once a month.
   - It should not be written down.

   - It should not be something directly related to the user, such as, for example, the name of a spouse.
   - It should be as random as possible.
   - Different passwords should be used for different systems.

It is a well-known fact that users have difficulty remembering their passwords, especially if they are required to change them on a regular basis. A newly-changed password is held in the user's working memory. If the user is to remember it for the next occasion, it must be encoded within the long-term memory. For this to happen without the help of some external memory aid one of the following must be true [24]:

- the password must be meaningful or deducible, such as the month and year;
- the password must be rehearsed;
- the password must be based on some fact already encoded within the long-term memory — such as a familiar name; or
- the user must have some special scheme for setting and recalling the password.

The latter entails extra effort and so users will tend to choose one of the other options. If users choose passwords that satisfy all the requirements, without attaching some meaning to the password, they will be likely to forget it. A survey of the system support tasks undertaken at the University of South Africa in January 2001 supported this assertion since a quarter of all system administration tasks processed in this month were related to passwords. Many employees had forgotten their passwords after their vacation and from conversations with numerous colleagues one concludes that a significant percentage of the remainder had written their passwords down somewhere in order to retain them.

Furthermore, many users have to remember multiple passwords, that is, use different passwords for different applications. Having a large number of passwords reduces users’ memorability and increases insecure work practices, such as writing passwords down [3].

Password-based user authentication, especially for passwords meeting the above-mentioned requirements, penalizes users for the difficulty experienced in remembering sequences. Restrictions introduced to create more secure password content may in fact produce less memorable passwords. Users often have weak passwords because strong passwords are long and hard to remember. Security, therefore, does not necessarily improve as password complexity increases, because in reality users will simply write down difficult passwords. Furthermore, password protection weakens with the passage of time as well as improvements
in computer performance. Attackers can, therefore, rely on faster and faster computers for guessing passwords, while user memory on the other hand, does not seem to be expanding [1].

It is obvious from this discussion that users have limited memory capacity, which makes it difficult for them to retain passwords until needed [15]. On the other hand, users have particular strengths, such as processing visual information rapidly, coordinating multiple sources of information and making inferences about concepts or rules from past experiences [19], which can be utilized. We therefore feel that it is possible to come up with a memory assistance scheme which exploits user strengths in order to support them in alleviating their weaknesses and in this way improve and enhance security. The following section will propose our solution.

3 The Solution

To date, research on password security has focused on designing technical mechanisms to protect access to systems. Since security mechanisms are designed, implemented, applied and breached by people, human factors should be considered in their design [2]. It is simply not possible to eliminate the need for changing passwords. This is because the demands for changing passwords come from employees concerned with safety and security of sensitive information — and human frailty is simply not a factor they consider or care about. However, providing the user with an application to assist in finding a forgotten password is untenable because:

1. the user cannot get access to the application without the forgotten password, and
2. access to such an application would have to be restricted — probably by means of a password. Due to human factors such a password would tend not to be changed frequently which once again compromises security. In addition, this password, if discovered by an intruder, would give access to all of the user’s passwords — a very dangerous thing.

This leads to the conclusion that a paper-based mechanism needs to be found. This is difficult to provide since a piece of paper could easily fall into the wrong hands. A paper-based mechanism should therefore record the passwords in a format which will not be understood by anyone except the person who recorded the password. In addition, the mechanism should not be arduous to use because people generally emphasize efficacy rather than efficiency [10, 14] and would probably avoid a complex password-recording scheme.

Our solution does not require users to memorize or to write down long passwords, and does not rely on smart cards or other auxiliary hardware. The solution proposed in this paper is based on the well-known ‘Word Search’ problems so beloved of travelers everywhere (Figure 1). The puzzler is given a grid populated with characters and a list of words to locate, together with three implied templates — horizontal, vertical, and diagonal (Figure 2). To locate the word PASS, the puzzler, after some trial and error, applies the diagonal template to the grid (shown in Figure 3). Finding the words in such a grid is not a trivial problem because the profusion of characters in the grid obfuscates the hidden words — hence the attraction of such puzzles to those attempting to while away the hours. Sternberg [24] talks about the role of distractors in such grids — non-target stimuli which divert the reader’s attention away from the target (the password). The number of targets and distractors influence the difficulty of the task.

This line of thought led to the development of the Jiminy¹ concept — a paper-based mechanism for reminding users of their passwords. The Jiminy approach is a little different from the Word Search puzzle approach, which provides the puzzler with the grid, and the words, and implies the templates. Jiminy, on the other hand, provides a character-filled grid and a set of templates and expects the user to find the hidden password.

Of course the user is faced with the difficulty of remembering where to place the template within the grid in order to locate the hidden password. To make things easier the grid is superimposed over an image so that the user can remember the positioning of the template within the picture rather than the position within the grid. Improving memory retention by means of the loci method is a well known memory enhancement technique [12]. In addition, the user previously provided the password now hidden within the grid and, owing to his or her recognition memory capacity [12, 24], he or she will recognise the previously provided password.

Jiminy is synonymous with a public-key encryption system [20]. A public-key encryption scheme requires the use of two related keys — a private key which is only known to the owner, and a public key which is publicly available. If one encrypts with the public key, then the related private key is required to decrypt the message, and visa versa. In Jiminy, the public key is made up of the grid and the templates, whereas the private key is the position within the grid which will be used to relocate the password.

Whereas just about anyone can find the words in a common Word Search puzzle with a bit of persistence, the Jiminy scheme makes finding the words dependent on a fore-knowledge of the word itself and the location within the grid. It is possible for another person to find the word, but it is far more difficult than finding passwords recorded on paper, or guessing familiar names. Jiminy makes accidental identification of passwords much harder by providing users with more than

¹Named after Pinnochio’s conscience — Jiminy Cricket
Figure 1: Word Search Grid

Figure 2: Implied Templates

Figure 3: Word Located
one template — each a different colour. For a grid composed of 20 rows and 26 columns a password composed of 8 characters is surrounded by 552 distractors. An intruder has to match the correct template to the correct position in the grid — which makes finding the password less likely.

4 Jiminy Prototype

A prototype of Jiminy was implemented, using Java, to test the concepts outlined in the previous section. Jiminy takes the user through the following steps to generate the required grid:

1. A background image is identified. At present the Jiminy prototype only accepts JPEG images. This is not necessarily a disadvantage since this is a very popular format.

2. The user chooses the position of one or more passwords — located according to specific features on the image (see Figure 4).

3. The rest of the grid is then populated with randomly generated distractor characters, obscuring the passwords (see Figure 5). The characters include all small letters, capital letters, non-alphanumeric characters and the ten numeric digits.

4. The user prints the image.

The user is provided with various options so that the eventual grid can be tailored:

1. a set of templates cut out of different coloured cardboard is provided. The user chooses the template to be used for each password embedded in the grid.

2. the user has the option of choosing the colour of the grid to be used for the particular password.

3. the user can pin the template based on any of the four corners — so that many more options for locating the grid are possible.

Jiminy also allows users to generate their own templates and to enter those into the system (see Figure 6). This strengthens the security provided by Jiminy because users can each have different templates.

The grid generated by Jiminy has 20 rows and 26 columns. The templates are 8 squares both ways, so there are 12 x 18 different squares the top left-hand corner of the template can be aligned with (216). With three templates there are therefore 648 different passwords available from one Jiminy grid. Thus an intruder has a 0.1% chance of discovering the right password — especially if the user does not make use of a recognisable word. The biggest obstacle to using unpredictable passwords — remembering them — is alleviated by Jiminy, which will hopefully encourage users to be more security conscious.

5 Evaluation

Evaluation of Jiminy cannot be done within a fixed time period, because one has to make it available to the user to use whenever a password is changed. One cannot predict when a password will be forgotten so the evaluation cannot be hurried. To evaluate, one should make Jiminy available in case a password is forgotten. Jiminy was installed for a number of volunteers and then evaluated from two perspectives:

1. one being the usability of the prototype itself, and

2. the other being evaluation of the Jiminy concept.

To this end, volunteers were asked the following questions after a reasonable time had elapsed:

1. whether having Jiminy encouraged them to choose a stronger password.

2. whether Jiminy helped them to remember their password.

3. whether they had written their password down elsewhere.

4. whether Jiminy was easy to use.

All the users found that Jiminy not only helped them to remember their passwords, but that they chose stronger passwords because they had Jiminy. They also did not write down or record their passwords in any other way, which tightens up the currently lax security situation. It was interesting to note that there was a contagiousness about Jiminy. People who had not originally volunteered requested Jiminy because they had forgotten a password, or because they had heard about the experiment and wanted to have the facility available. This suggests that people actually do want to use the password facility properly but are confounded by their own human frailty.

With respect to Jiminy usability we found that users experienced no problems using the prototype. One problem with the use of Jiminy is that users would change network passwords at the beginning of their session and then after waiting for the startup to complete they did not remember to record their password with Jiminy. We intend investigating ways of addressing this problem.

In general we feel that this evaluation has convinced us of the value of the Jiminy methodology, and of the usability of the prototype, and that the Jiminy concept merits further evaluation with a larger volunteer base.

6 Future Work

The ideal time to evaluate Jiminy in South Africa is after the December holidays because most people take a break at this time of year and relax completely.
Figure 4: Password Location Chosen

Figure 5: Grid Populated with Characters
7 Conclusions

The Jiminy approach records passwords on paper in such a way that only the person who originally recorded the password will be able to reliably retrieve it. Even if an intruder gains access to the gridded image, and the templates, it will take a lot of time and effort to find the password. The authorised users, on the other hand, will have no difficulty since their inherent ability to remember location and recognise patterns will assist them in placing the correct template in the right position and recognising their passwords. Even if the user is not certain about where the password is and (s)he has to try a few positions (s)he will recognise the familiar pattern of the previously chosen password. The initial evaluation suggests that Jiminy could prove an invaluable aid to users and play a role in reducing stress both for end-users and system administrators.

We are fully aware that Jiminy does not provide a completely secure mechanism which is impervious to attack. What we do claim is that Jiminy is superior to traditional mechanisms for remembering passwords — such as writing them down on post-it notes and sticking them close to a workstation.
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